

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,741	07/08/2003	David C. Block	VISTACO.010C1	1832
20995	7590 07/13/2004		EXAM	INER
	MARTENS OLSON &	PEDDER, DENNIS H		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA	92614		3612	,
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/615,741	BLOCK, DAVID C.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dennis H. Pedder	3612
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
eriod for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period version of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	une 2004.	·
, —	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters	, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,12-34,37 and 38</u> is/are pending in	the application	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray		
5) Claim(s) <u>1-9,12,13,26-34,37 and 38</u> is/are allow		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-25</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement	
,		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) cobjected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	i priority ariabi do d.o.o. 3	(4)
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received	
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document		lication No
		Served III this Mational Otage
application from the International Bureau		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	zeived.
Attachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Mail Date
<ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	) 5) ☐ Notice of Infor 6) ☐ Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
. Patent and Trademark Office		
	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 7102004
		编数的 5000000000000000000000000000000000000

Application/Control Number: 10/615,741

Art Unit: 3612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 14-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The flange of applicant extends generally horizontally at top and bottom only, hence the claims are vague in that regard.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bateman in view of either Mikami et al. or Tomioka et al..

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in Bateman a moisture flange 5 or 8/18, as taught by either Mikami et al. or Tomioka et al., respectively, that extends outwardly from the periphery of the opening for the window in order to seal and to block moisture from passing into the opening. The flange of either Mikami et al. or

Application/Control Number: 10/615,741

Art Unit: 3612

Tomioka et al., installed in the environment of Bateman extends perpendicular to the surface of the outer side wall covering and hence is generally horizontal.

As to claim 19, see seals 8, 9/19, respectively.

As to claim 20, Tomioka et al. shows the side portion with moisture flange. Disposition along upper portion is an obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in the art for the reasons advanced.

3. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bateman or Bateman in view of either Mikami et al. or Tomioka et al. as applied to claims 17 above and further in view of Tonks.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide an inside handle specifically adapted for opening the latch as taught by Tonks in order to ease such opening.

- 4. Claim 20 is further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bateman in view of either Mikami et al. or Tomioka et al. or Bateman in view of either Mikami et al. or Tomioka et al. and Tonks as applied to claims 14 or 18 above and further in view of Holka et al..

  It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in either set of references above a protruding flange and seal at top of the opening as taught by Holka et al. at figure 3 to prevent water running into the vehicle.
- 5. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bateman or Bateman in view of either Mikami et al. or Tomioka et al. as applied to claim 14 above and further in view of Styron.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,741

Art Unit: 3612

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in either set of references above inwardly extending flanges to a window frame as taught by Styron in figure 2 in order to strengthen the frame.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-9, 12-13, 26-34, 37-38 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 23-24 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 6/28/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Please see the detailed action above.

#### Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3612

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (703) 308-2178. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612 7/10/04

[维生] [1] "我特别的,我们可以是是我的的演绎的话,我们也不是看着一种一些人会

DHP 7/10/2004